

AGENCY SURVEY 2026

Selling GEO: how B2B agencies are pricing, packaging and delivering AI search

FULL REPORT



Foreword



Tom Rudnai
Founder & CEO, Demand-Genius

This study was a big undertaking, and the first time we've conducted GEO research by talking to Humans instead of AI models. Turns out, it takes a lot longer.

47 people at B2B agencies answered, all senior commercial leaders or owners. I've long thought of GEO as a triangle of positioning, content and reputation, because what AI says about a brand is the sum of all three. So we went looking for brand, PR, SEO and content specialists alike, to see how each is approaching a problem that bridges so many disciplines.

Three feelings kept coming up: **overwhelmed, unsure, unproven**. And they feed each other. Noise makes it hard to know who to trust, and without trusted data it's hard to prove anything works.

To help clients navigate a fundamental shift in the way they engage with and influence their audience, Agencies must first coalesce on some degree of shared understanding of the tactics, best practice and impact of GEO.

When I reflect on what is causing the uncertainty, I come back to three and very solvable misconceptions that show up in the data and our broader research.

GEO is a brand channel being asked to behave like a performance channel. Clients take it to SEO agencies, and agencies report it like search: citations, share of voice, referral traffic. Only a third report pipeline. Then everyone wonders why so little traffic turns up.

The discipline is being learned in echo chambers. 69% of agencies learn about GEO from LinkedIn creators, and only 31% from

independent research. For me, this is the most concerning finding in the study. It's how one tactic becomes the silver bullet. It's also why most agencies have built their offer around whichever corner of the problem their own feed talks about.

We expect certainty from a system that can't give it. AI answers differently depending on who's asking, so every visibility number is a sample. Shaky data makes attribution hard, and without attribution, impact is hard to prove. That's why pricing is where agencies feel least confident.

None of this is a criticism. Overwhelmed, unsure and unproven is what a new discipline feels like from the inside. Each cause can be fixed, and I suspect the agencies that fix them first will be the first to stop feeling it.

The numbers



64%

have a named GEO offering



64%

see GEO in less than 25% of client briefs



3.08 / 5

mean confidence level in their own pricing



71%

believe they're either undervaluing GEO or don't know how to value it



32%

report leads or pipeline to clients



3.19 / 5


hype vs impact score, from the people selling it

The findings


-
- 1** Most agencies now sell GEO, but few are confident about what to charge for it.
64% have a named offering. Pricing confidence is 3.08/5, and 71% think they're undercharging or don't know.
 - 2** Clients are asking agencies that already offer GEO. Agencies without an offering are barely being asked.
More than a quarter of briefs involve GEO for 11 of 20 adopters (55%), against 1 of 13 non-adopters (8%).
 - 3** Agencies that fold it into SEO are more confident on pricing.
Pricing confidence is 2.90 for a standalone service against 3.67 for an SEO line item.
 - 4** Agencies report citations and visibility to clients, but only a third show any link to leads or revenue.
77% report citations and mentions; 32% report leads or pipeline.
 - 5** Agencies that sell GEO and agencies that don't are equally unsure whether it's mostly hype or mostly impact.
Hype vs impact scores are 3.16 for adopters and 3.23 for non-adopters, out of 5.
-

Audience and sample

ROLE



SPECIALISM



AGENCY SIZE



CLIENT BASE



Method

An online survey of 47 people at 46 agencies of different sizes and specialisms, run in August and September 2026.

How AI was used

Every figure comes straight from the raw answers. AI helped us format, and extract insights and narratives.

Sample Size Variation

Sample sizes vary on some slides, since some questions were only asked for adopters, and some for non-adopters.

The majority of this data reflects independent, founder-led B2B agencies. Not the holding companies.


01

SECTION 1 — THE MARKET

Are clients actually asking for GEO?


How often does GEO come up in client briefs?

Share of client briefs involving GEO, and how clients rate it as a stated priority.



BY AGENCY TYPE

Client priority, out of 5



We know that GEO spans positioning, content and reputation - a [Toronto study](#) showed ~74% of ChatGPT citations for software products come from earned media - yet clients are bringing GEO to SEO and Content agencies first. Most PR agencies, and all Brand agencies, see GEO in >25% of client briefs.


Do agencies with an offering see more demand?

■ Adopters ■ Non-adopters

Briefs involving GEO under 10%




Client priority, mean out of 5



This may seem obvious, but agencies with a defined, public GEO offering see more demand. If you are holding off creating the offering because it's not coming up in conversations, this implies that the demand may well follow the product.

Is GEO hype or real impact?

Hype vs impact, 1 (all hype) to 5 (all impact)



Adopters

3.16

Non-adopters

3.23




"Nobody is an expert yet,
some have experimented more
than others."

Anu, Isoline Communications


An interesting point of agreement in the survey: adopters average 3.16, non-adopters 3.23. Having built and sold a GEO offering does not make an agency more convinced it works.

How do agencies think they compare?

Compared to other agencies, we are...



OF THE ADOPTERS WHO SAID 'AHEAD'



Honestly this data was quite hard to make sense of. Only 3 of the 8 adopters who say they're ahead fully know how their tool selects prompts. 13% have no tooling. To us, this speaks to the lack of best practice and common understanding of what "good" looks like.

Why did Babel want to take a measured approach to GEO?



Ash Lockett

Senior Director of B2B
Marketing

BABEL

"If you're trying to win at the bottom-of-the-funnel prompts, you've already lost the game."

Why sit behind the market?

"It's been a strategic move to sit just behind the curve. When GEO came to market, I think every other agency was basically producing a nice shiny widget and distributing it to market, but I'm not necessarily sure of the strategic value to clients."

Hype or impact?

"You have people selling silver bullets like Reddit and Wikipedia... Where I think it has real potential value is in understanding the perceptions of your brand and understanding how you actually are positioning and articulating your value proposition in market."

The hardest part of building it

"If everyone's got this tool, where is my piece of context or data or insight that makes the offering meaningfully ours and not just white labelling a solution? It often requires reorganising the way in which you've structured a business for the last twenty years."

What clients get wrong


"The conversation of the hour is how do I increase my chances of being cited by an LLM... What's even better than being recommended by the LLM when they're searching for a category is having the user actually insert, 'find me companies like X!'"

The next 12–24 months

"I don't think you'll ever get a structured playbook until things slow down a little bit... [GEO is] one component of an overall knowledge environment in terms of how people perceive, think, and cite your brand."

What have agencies invested in?


Investments made in the last 12 months.



The industry has absorbed GEO into existing headcount. "GEO" has not yet become a specialist skill agencies hire for.

Where do agencies learn about GEO?

Where agencies get their GEO information



ABLE TO ACCESS RELIABLE ADVICE

3.52 / 5



"There's no trusted resource for agencies to guide them on the right path forward."

Vanessa Horwell, THINKINK

The most-used source is individual creators on LinkedIn (69%); the least-used is independent or academic research (31%). This is a big challenge; it's an industry being defined in an echo chamber by content marketing, not research.

Are brand agencies any different?

Brand agencies All other respondents

Client priority



Fit with team structure



Access to reliable advice



6 of 7

brand agencies have no named GEO offering.

Brand agencies sit furthest from the rest on client priority, team fit and access to advice. GEO rewards brand fundamentals - who are we, who are we for, how do we help them - yet the agencies helping brand's build that foundation are the furthest removed.


02

SECTION 2 — ADOPTION AND PACKAGING

How many agencies have built a GEO offering, and how do they sell it?

How many agencies have a GEO offering?


Has a named GEO offering




Yes

No

BY SPECIALISM



BY AGENCY SIZE



This question asked whether agencies actively sell a named GEO offering, either standalone or as a line item. Adoption jumps for agencies with more than 10 people, then plateaus. Among brand specialists it falls to 1 of 7.

How is GEO sold?


Agencies with a named offering.

Standalone service with its own name




48%

Line item within SEO




22%

Line item within PR / comms




11%

Line item within content



7%

Delivered but not sold separately



11%



Standalone is the largest single route, but it's still a minority of adopters and only 28% of the full sample.

Who owns GEO inside the agency?

NAMED OWNER FOR GEO



OWNER'S PRIMARY SKILLSET



"We struggle to decide who internally will lead it, and who externally will deliver it."

A 10–25 person brand agency without a GEO offering yet

PR is the largest specialization of the agencies in the sample, but where GEO has an owner, that owner is most often an SEO.

How confident are agencies in their offering?

Self-rated agreement, 1 to 5, adopters only.

Could explain how we differ



3.88

Our offering is world class



3.65

Can deliver everything internally



3.65

Agencies showed more confidence in their GEO offering than we expected, given that they were more tentative on impact. Overall, agencies feel they are able to differentiate *their approach* to GEO.

Why doesn't Eleven Content sell GEO on its own?



Christian Rigg

Operations Director

Eleven

Why not a standalone GEO package?

"To try to do the one (GEO) without the other (SEO) is really just trying to work with one hand tied behind your back... We know that LLMs use search engines to ground some of their information. So by virtue of that, it can't possibly exist on its own."

Hype or impact?

"Anything in AEO and GEO that maps onto foundational ideas in SEO and brand marketing, we put a lot of stock into... The conversions can be stronger through Claude and ChatGPT than they are through traditional search, even if there's fewer of them."

The hardest part of building it

"It's very easy to feel overwhelmed by everything that's going on. And it's very easy to have a team that's feeling overwhelmed... One of the challenges is helping people to feel secure, confident, and empowered as we all navigate this together."

What clients get wrong

"It's hard to tell a client, 'No, really, you don't need to "do GEO". ... They just want a 'GEO Plan' like we used to have 'SEO Plans.'"

From Christian's survey response.

The next 12–24 months


"[The industry's] methodology hasn't really caught up to the fact that the data that we're working with is very probabilistic... It's one of the reasons why we're developing our own very light in-house query tracker."

03

SECTION 3 — PRICING


**How are agencies pricing GEO,
and do they trust their price?**

How do agencies charge for GEO?



One in five (21%) haven't built a consistent commercial model around GEO.


How confident are agencies in their price?



Agencies are generally confident in the work they deliver, but lack confidence in how and how much to charge for it. It's a big challenge for the industry; GEO is being treated as a performance channel like SEO or Paid, but doesn't benefit from the same attribution clarity.

Do agencies think their price is right?

How agencies rate their own GEO price



BY SPECIALISM

5 / 8 PR agencies undervaluing


3 / 5 SEO agencies undervaluing

4 / 6 full-stack agencies don't know


It is not surprising that few agencies state they are overvaluing their GEO offer. The takeaway here is that just 29% feel confident they are correctly valuing it.

Does how it's sold affect confidence in the price?

BY HOW IT'S SOLD



BY CHARGING MODEL



Agencies with a dedicated retainer are the most confident in their price (3.78) and those whose charging 'varies too much to say' the least (2.00). Pricing confidence improves with confidence in proving impact ($r=0.80$, $n=24$).

"Clients raise concerns about paying for services they feel should be baked into our overall offering."

Vanessa Horwell, THINKINK

Does proving impact make pricing easier?

Confidence in proving impact, by pricing verdict.

Price is "about right"



4.71

"Undervaluing"



3.60

"Genuinely don't know"



2.57

Agencies who rate their price 'about right' score 4.71 on proving impact; those who 'genuinely don't know' score 2.57. Proof and pricing confidence travel together.

Why is GEO harder for Marketing Signals to sell than search?



Gareth Hoyle
Managing Director



"[With SEO] I can say to somebody, give me £10,000 a month and I think I can generate for you £1.2 million per year. With GEO, we're saying give me £10,000 a month and hopefully we'll figure it out."

Why is GEO a harder sell than search?

"We've been brought up on the crack that is follow the click... I just can't do that at the moment with GEO, and that's a tough sell to stand in front of a board and try and get budget for."

Hype or impact?

"It's here to stay, so embrace it. Or die, basically... [Google are] pushing their own cash cow further down the page so that they can try and get people putting their data into Gemini."

The hardest part of building it

"Trying to manipulate Reddit for LLM visibility is building on sand, really... LLMs are a lot stricter with product information. If you haven't got the length, the width and the depth on there, then you're probably not gonna get cited."

What clients get wrong

(Gareth voicing the enterprise client)

"My boss is gonna ask me, what do we get? And I can't say citations on a crappy website. There's gotta be some kind of link back to a commercial goal."

The next 12–24 months


"It's not gonna be an SEO campaign, a PPC campaign and a GEO campaign. From our perspective, we just see it as a visibility campaign."

04

SECTION 4 — METHOD AND DOCUMENTATION

Is there a repeatable way of doing GEO yet?


How do agencies deliver GEO?



Agencies are clearly attempting to build repeatable processes, and have done so most successfully on the audit & diagnosis stage. We were quite surprised how many have achieved consistency in execution, given the variation we see in citation data and the appropriate strategy per client. This may just be consistent playbooks across a range of tactics, though, so we don't want to overstate it as a problem.

Is the approach written down?

Documented well enough for a new team member



5 / 8

of the adopters who say they're 'ahead' of other agencies are documented

"What holds you back?"



"Honestly, just having a solidified internal playbook. Right now, it's just a series of discussions, experiments, and loose ideals / principles. That's hard to sell, because we don't have the right messaging or symbology for it."

Christian Rigg, Eleven Content

Fewer than half (43%) could hand their approach to a new starter. One in five (22%) has nothing written down.

05

SECTION 5 — COVERAGE AND CAPABILITY

**What do agencies actually
deliver themselves?**

Who handles each part of GEO?

	In-house	Partner	Client	Not covered
Audit and strategy	22	1	0	0
Measurement and monitoring	20	2	1	0
Positioning and messaging	19	0	4	0
Technical and schema	16	3	4	0
Content production	16	2	4	1
Earned media and PR	15	5	3	0
Social	11	2	9	1
Community and review presence	8	5	6	4

96% keep audit and strategy in-house. The further work gets from traditional SEO-style work, the more it is either ignored or partner-delivered.

Can agencies really deliver it all in-house?



62%

of adopters rate themselves 4 or 5 on "can deliver everything internally".



5.5

of the eight coverage areas are handled in-house by the average agency



11 of 14

of those rating themselves 4 or 5 on "can deliver everything internally" don't cover all eight areas in-house.



Most agencies who rate themselves complete hand at least one area to a partner, the client, or nobody. This suggests there isn't an alignment on which capabilities go into a GEO offering. Most agencies are comfortable delivering some or all of the offering through partners, rather than bringing everything in-house.

06

SECTION 6 — TOOLING AND MEASUREMENT

**How do agencies measure GEO,
and do they trust the numbers?**

What tools are agencies using?

Off-the-shelf AI visibility tool




50%

Built our own




27%

Bolt-on module of an SEO platform



18%

Nothing yet



5%

A quarter (27%) have built their own, while the majority of respondents are leveraging third-party SEO or GEO tools.

Do agencies trust their tool's data?

Confidence in data accuracy. Overall mean 3.50.

BY SETUP



BY PROMPT-SELECTION TRANSPARENCY




"Most tools run a prompt a day — way, way, way below what's needed to achieve statistical significance, and we're talking margins of error upwards of 50-60%."

Christian Rigg, Eleven Content

Agencies who fully know how their tool picks prompts rate its accuracy 4.00; those who know roughly rate it 3.11. 11 of 20 tool users don't fully know. **It's interesting to note that agencies leveraging off-the-shelf tools have much lower confidence in accuracy.**


What do agencies report to clients?



Two thirds of agencies selling GEO (68%) don't report leads or pipeline as an outcome. The focus on "SEO metrics" - traffic, and the citations that lead to it - over more influence-base metrics like sentiment reflect a focus on driving *visibility* as an outcome of GEO rather than *influence* or *brand alignment*.

Will clients let agencies talk about the work?

Getting client sign-off to publicise GEO work



"STRAIGHTFORWARD" VS "HAVEN'T TRIED"

Pricing confidence 4.4 vs 2.29

Proving impact 5.0 vs 2.86

World class offering 4.4 vs 3.14

Agencies who found client sign-off straightforward are the most confident on every measure. 7 of 20 haven't asked.

Why does Hard Numbers distrust GEO absolutes?



Darryl Sparey
Managing Director



"Anytime you see anyone talking in terms of absolutes... you know they're talking crap, and you know that they're GEO bros who showed up five minutes ago."

How do you lead without feeding the hype?

"I can't think of a document that we've changed more frequently than [our GEO training deck]. Principles are broadly still the same, but... you've got to keep that stuff up to date."

Hype or impact?

"There is clearly a semantic association that has happened in AI search between Hard Numbers as a business and our expertise in generative engine optimisation that is in part driving those kinds of opportunities for us."

The hardest part of building it

"Do you go top down... one neck to strangle... or is it bottom up? Where we've landed is probably somewhere between the two: a centre of excellence for GEO within the business that has about four or five people in it."

What clients get wrong

"More often than not it's channel-specific stuff. Insert name of channel here. Someone has said Reddit, YouTube, LinkedIn, Forbes... So actually, is the thesis borne out by the data?"

The next 12–24 months

"The single biggest challenge for the PR industry as it relates to GEO isn't actually the fight for ownership of GEO... It's the existential challenge posed by AI search hoovering up all this copyrighted content... and then not sending them any traffic."


07

SECTION 7 — THE NON-ADOPTERS

What about the agencies without an offering?


All figures n=16, reported as counts.

Why don't they have an offering?



Only one agency rejects GEO as a discipline. Seven of 16 have deliberately folded it into existing services; seven more believe it matters or are building one now.

What's holding non-adopters back?



The top two blockers are evidential: not knowing what works (5) and no methodology to build on (5). The primary blockers are around confidence and best practice, not a lack of demand or opportunity.


Could they build one if they wanted to?

COULD BUILD A CREDIBLE OFFERING

3.25 / 5



LOSING WORK TO AGENCIES WHO DO OFFER IT



The seven who deliberately fold GEO into existing services average 4.14 on 'could build a credible offering'; the other nine average 2.56. Nobody feels sure that not offering GEO is losing work yet, though 4 agencies suspect it might be.

What would make them start?



"To make this a primary focus I'd need some key data. First, client demand—it's not there at scale, at least not yet. Second, I'd want to see data showing that clients and my ICPs are losing or winning directly related to GEO in the story. Last, we need a **repeatable and scalable way to implement.**"

Jonathan Goldmacher, Signifly



"We are looking into a solution but that would become more urgent if a client specifically asked for it."

Fiona Skilton, Collective Content



"We have never offered SEO in the first place... We always outsource to experts within a subject matter."


A 25–50 person brand agency

08

SECTION 8 — IN THEIR WORDS

What do agencies say in their own words?

What's the hardest part of delivering GEO?



"The sheer lack of certainty — in measurements, in tactics, in distinctiveness from SEO and other marketing."

Christian Rigg, Eleven Content



"Overwhelming choice of tools and seemingly no discernible difference between price and quality."


Flo Powell, Midnight



"We're capacity constrained... we can probably only currently handle 2-3 audits and 2-3 ongoing clients per month."

Darryl Sparey, Hard Numbers

What makes GEO hard to sell?



"Clients understand SEO because they can track rankings, traffic, and conversions. With GEO, it is still harder to show a direct connection."

A 10–25 person SEO agency



"Most clients expect GEO reporting to be part of a PR retainer now."

Flo Powell, Midnight



"I wish [there] was more of an obstacle..."

Christian Rigg, Eleven Content



"The B2B marketing industry needs to find common language for the value and impact of GEO."

Adam Hutchinson, Kyn

What would take it to the next level?

Attribution and
commercial data



8

Proof and results




4

Playbook and maturity




4

Content capability



3

Hiring ahead



2



"Results. If we can show results, we'd get a lot of clients on board."

Vanessa Horwell, THINKINK



"Tracking fee/spend back to ROI."


Gavin Willis, Search Seven



"We will bring it out of the shadows and create a more 'standalone' offering on the product shelf so it can be the lightning rod (not the sweetener) for new business conversations."

Ian Stirling, Streets Consulting

What is the industry getting wrong?



"Experts (self-proclaimed) are largely not experts."

Ian Stirling, Streets Consulting



"The industry is trying to turn GEO into a separate, clearly defined channel too quickly... There is also too much confidence in conclusions based on limited tests."

A 10–25 person SEO agency



"PR agencies running headlong into trying to develop and commercialise their own tools — there will be a digital graveyard... SEO agencies telling everyone 'you don't want GEO you just want MORE SEO'."

Darryl Sparey, Hard Numbers



"Large scale enterprises tend to eat up all the oxygen in topics like this, as do the large agency networks/holding companies. Most businesses aren't large."

Jonathan Goldmacher, Signifly

Conclusions: what's really going on?

GEO isn't fully understood yet, and agencies feel it: overwhelmed, unsure and unable to prove impact. We see three causes behind it.

1 GEO is a brand channel being asked to behave like a performance channel.


AI sends little traffic. Just 16% of AI responses in B2B buying journeys cite a brand (Demand-Genius, *Dark AI*). Yet 77% of agencies report on citations and only 32% report pipeline.

2 The discipline is being learned in echo chambers.

69% learn from LinkedIn creators; 31% from independent research. The echo chamber Agencies learn about GEO in is reflected in the siloes in which they seek to deliver it; only 5 of 23 agencies cover all eight areas of GEO we asked about in our survey.


3 Agencies expect certainty from data that can only ever be directional.

Trust in data follows understanding of how it is collected. More than half of respondents with a tracking solution don't understand how it picks prompts. Data confidence was 4.00 for those who fully know vs 1.67 for those who roughly know.



Conclusions: what to do next?

- 1 Agree what proof looks like before you start.**
Separate brand reflection (does AI describe you the way you want?) from growth (pipeline, with honest caveats on attribution). Be clear and specific on the goals of any GEO program.
- 2 Stop doing GEO in a silo.**
Positioning, content and reputation all shape what AI says. Plan across them, via cross-team collaboration, a generalist owner or partners.
- 3 Learn from research, not the feed.**
Read the deeper studies, run structured tests and form your own view. Ask every source whether it shows its method.
- 4 Treat the data as directional, and be disciplined about it.**
Track the moments that matter to buyers, not individual prompts, and be clear to clients on how that data can and can't be used.
- 5 Diagnose consistently, then tailor what you do.**
Agencies with a consistent diagnosis are the most confident on price (3.70) and on proving impact (4.30).
- 6 Ask for the case study.**
7 of 20 agencies have never asked a client to go public with GEO work.



Contributors

17 agencies whose teams agreed to be named. Thank you for helping, we hope, to contribute to a better shared understanding of how to build GEO best practices and consensus that delivers impact for agencies and brands.



THINKINK



Streets Consulting



Midnight

BABEL

Babel



Big Games Machine



PRLab



Signify



Search Seven



Marketing Signals



Isoline Communications



Kyn



Marketing Managed



Hard Numbers



Compound Partners



Collective Content




Eleven Content



Moon at Dawn

The intelligence layer behind a differentiated GEO offer



01 · AI SEARCH INTEL

See what your client's buyers see

- Simulate specific ICPs, so you are reporting on what your client's *specific ICP* sees in AI conversations.
- Map the stakeholders in a buying group and track visibility, sentiment and criteria fit for each one.



02 · CONTENT INTEL

Turn a content library into data

- Analyse huge volumes of content and condense it into data; fit up to 42x more into an AI context window.
- Turn editorial judgement into KPIs you can report on.
- Spot gaps, then use AI to run detailed analysis on them.

Are you ready to build a *differentiated* GEO offer that stands out to clients?

If so, then get in touch with us.

Get in touch →

demand-genius.com